

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/995,789	11/29/2001	Taiichiroh Meguro	049400-5021	9139	
9629	7590 07/02/2004	07/02/2004		EXAMINER	
	FOREMAN, JONATHAN M				
_			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3736	·	

DATE MAILED: 07/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No	Amplicantic
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summan	09/995,789	MEGURO ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
TI MANUAL BATTS (N.	Jonathan ML Foreman	3736
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet (	with the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	I36(a). In no event, however, may a ly within the statutory minimum of the will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC e, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed  nirty (30) days will be considered timely.  DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22.	<u> April 2004</u> .	•
	nis action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under		
Disposition of Claims	•	,
4) $\boxtimes$ Claim(s) <u>1,3 and 13</u> is/are pending in the app	lication.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3 and 13</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	pted or b)□ objected to by	the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to th	e drawing(s) be held in abe	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)□ approved b)□	disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	ply to this Office action.	
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer.	
riority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in	Application No
Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu     See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ireau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	·	
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro	ovisional application has	been received.
Attachment(s)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
) ⊠ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Di	5) Notice of	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) If Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
s. Patent and Trademark Office FO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office Ad	ction Summary	Part of Paper No. 20040625

#### DETAILED ACTION

### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 3/22/04 has been entered.

### **Priority**

2. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d) based upon an application filed in Japan on 1/31/2000. A claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d) cannot be based on said application, since the United States application was filed more than twelve months thereafter.

# Specification

3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: Page 1, first line of the 3<sup>rd</sup> paragraph states, "catheter are introduced...". The examiner suggests replacing "are" with "is". Page 8, line 2 states, "ellipsoidal helical spring is". Reference numeral "3" should follow "ellipsoidal helical spring". Page 23, line 25 states, "attach o the". This should read "attach to the".

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Objections

4. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: It is unclear how the guide wire cures the coronary artery. The examiner suggests deleting the word "curing" from the

preamble. Additionally, line 13 states, "and elastically deform". The examiner suggests replacing "deform" with "deforms". Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claims 1, 2 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The preamble of claim 1 is drawn to a guide wire. However, in the body of the claim there appears to be limitations to both a catheter and a guide wire. It is unclear if Applicant is claiming a guide wire, or a guide wire in combination with a catheter.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,465,733 to Hinohara et al. in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,368,048 to Stoy et al.

In regards to claims 1 and 2, Hinohara et al. discloses a guide wire (20) having a front catheter engagement portion (26) formed from a barrel portion. A provisionally connected balloon catheter (40) is inserted into a coronary artery with the guide wire (Col. 8, lines 48 - 51). The barrel portion is formed by soldering a portion in one piece on a helical spring (24) portion of the guide wire and a core (22) inserted in the guide wire (Col. 6, lines 2 - 4). The front end of the catheter

Art Unit: 3736

(42) is shown to be diametrically smaller than a maximum diameter of the barrel portion (Figure 3). It is inherent that the flexible catheter that if forced in a distal direction over the barrel portion (26), the tip (42) would deform to be diametrically greater than a tube portion of the catheter and would have a flared end portion. The barrel portion must have been cut at its outer surface during the shaping of the barrel. Hinohara et al. discloses the barrel portion being formed of stainless steel (Col. 5, lines 65 - 67), but does not disclose the stainless steel having a mirror-finish. Stoy et al. discloses a guide wire and teaches that it is well know in the art to provide stainless steel with a mirror finish in order to reduce friction (Col. 1, lines 49 - 51). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the barrel portion as taught by Hinohara et al. to include a mirror finish as taught by Stoy et al. in order to reduce the friction on the outer surface of the barrel portion so as not to hinder the guide wire as it penetrates tight passages (Col. 1, lines 40 - 48).

### Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

# Allowable Subject Matter

10. Claim 13 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. No prior art discloses or fairly suggests a guide wire having a mirror-finished barrel portion with two parallel flat sections lengthwise on the barrel.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jonathan ML Foreman whose telephone number is (703) 305-5390. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8:00 am - 4:30 pm.

Application/Control Number: 09/995,789

Art Unit: 3736

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Mary Beth Jones can be reached on (703) 308-3400. The fax phone numbers for the organization

where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and

(703) 872-9306 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858.

JMLF

June 25, 2004

MARY BETH JONES

**ACTING SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER**